

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>LECTURER'S DECLARATION</b>	<b>i</b>
	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>STUDENT'S DECLARATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>DEDICATIONS</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRCT</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>CONTENTS</b>	<b>viii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>

## **CHAPTER I**

### **INTRODUCTION**

1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Problem Statement	2
1.3	Research Objectives	3
1.4	Research Scope	4
1.5	Research Methodology	4

## **CHAPTER II**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

2.1	Introduction	6
2.2	Non-Destructive Test (NDT)	7
2.2.1	Basic Method for NDT of Concrete Structures	8
2.2.1.1	Schmidt Rebound Hammer Test	9
2.2.1.2	Equipment for Schmidt Rebound Hammer Test	10
2.2.1.3	General Procedure for Schmidt Rebound Hammer Test	11
2.2.1.4	Applications of Schmidt Rebound Hammer Test	12
2.2.1.5	Range and Limitations of Schmidt Rebound Hammer Test	14
2.2.2	Ultra Sonic Pulse Velocity Test	18
2.2.2.1	Fundamental Principle	18
2.2.2.2	Equipment for the Pulse Velocity Test	19
2.2.2.3	Applications	20

2.2.2.4	Determination of Pulse Velocity	21
2.2.2.4.1	Transducer Arrangement	21
2.2.2.4.2	Determination of Pulse Velocity by Direct Transmission	23
2.2.2.4.3	Determination of Pulse Velocity by Semi-Direct Transmission	23
2.2.2.4.4	Determination of Pulse Velocity by Indirect or Surface Transmission	24
2.2.2.4.5	Coupling the Transducer onto the Concrete	25
2.2.2.4.6	Factor Influencing Pulse Velocity Measurements	27
2.2.2.5	Determination of Concrete Uniformity	31
2.2.2.6	Detection of Defects	32
2.2.2.7	Examples of Relationships between Pulse Velocity and Compressive Strength	33
2.3	Partially Destructive Test	34
2.3.1	Concrete Core Test	35
2.3.2	Cores vs. Cylinders	36
2.3.3	Coring Direction	37
2.3.4	Top-to-Bottom Strength Variation	38
2.3.5	Consolidation	39
2.3.6	Effects of Curing	40

2.4	Codes, Standards and Specifications	41
2.4.1	General Considerations	42
2.4.2	Different Categories of Standards	43
2.4.2.1	Standards	43
2.4.2.2	Codes and Specifications	43
2.4.2.3	Other Types of National Documents	44
2.4.2.4	Standardisation Organisations and Some of the Standards Relating to Testing of Concrete	44
2.4.2.4.1	American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM)	44
2.4.2.4.2	British Standards Institution (BSI)	46

## **CHAPTER III**

## **METHODOLOGY**

3.1	Introduction	48
3.2	Document Study	49
3.2.1	Concrete Core Test	49
3.2.2	Schmidt Rebound Hammer Test	51
3.2.3	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Test	52
3.3	Interview with Civil and Structural Consulting Engineers Using Questionnaire	53
3.3.1	Contents of the Questionnaire	53
3.4	Comparison of Cube characteristic Strength using BS 1881& BS 6089 and BSEN 13791:2007 Euro Codes	56

<b>CHAPTER IV</b>	<b>DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS</b>	
4.1 Document Study		57
4.1.1 Rebound Hammer Test		58
4.1.2 Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity		60
4.1.3 Core Compression Test		61
4.2 Interview		64
4.3 Comparison		68
4.4 Results Obtained form Structural Assessment		
Case Study		70
4.5 Results and Findings from Interview		71
4.6 Comparison between BS 1881 and BS EN 13791		
Euro Codes		72
 <b>CHAPTER V</b>	 <b>CONCLUSION AND SUGESSTIONS</b>	
5.1 Factor Influencing in Selecting Most Suitable Testing		
Method for Structural Assessment		73
5.2 Comparison of Concrete Core Results Using		
BS 1881&BS6089 and BSEN 13791:2007 Euro codes		74
5.3 Suggestions		74
 <b>REFERENCES</b>		 76
 <b>APPENDIX A</b>		

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.0	Effect of temperature on pulse transmission	28
2.1	Effect of specimen dimensions on pulse transmission	30
2.2	Classification of the quality of concrete on the basis of pulse velocity 30	33
4.1	Rebound Hammer Test Results	58
4.2	Measurement for Rebound Hammer UPV Test locations on the T-Beam	59
4.3	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Test Results	60
4.4	Concrete Core Compression Test Results	61
4.5	Estimated In-Situ Strength throughout Interpolation from Correlation Curve	62
4.6	Estimated In-Situ Cube Strength for all three types of test	63
4.7	Comparison of Cube characteristic Strength using BS 1881& BS 6089 and BSEN 13791:2007 Euro Codes	69
4.8	Variance between there types of test conducted	70
4.9	Variance between BS 1881 and BSEN 13791	72

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Research Methodology Flow Chart	5
2.0	Schmidt Rebound Hammer	10
2.1	A cutaway schematic view of the Schmidt rebound hammer	11
2.2	Relationship between 28 day compressive strength and rebound number for limestone aggregate concrete obtained with Type N-2 Hammer	13
2.3	Correlation curves produced by different researchers. (Greene curve used Type N hammer; others used Type N-2).	15
2.4	Effect of gravel from different sources on correlation curves.	16
2.5	Comparison between correlation curves for crushed limestone and siliceous	17
2.6(a)	Direct Transmission	22
2.6(b)	Semi-direct Transmission	22
2.6(c)	Indirect or surface transmission	22
2.7	Pulse velocity determinations by indirect (surface) transmission	26
2.8	Relation between ultrasonic pulse velocity and compressive strength for concretes of different mix proportions	34
2.9	Planes of weakness under coarse aggregate particles due to bleeding	38
2.10	Estimated within-member strength variations	39
2.11	Longitudinal resonance frequency of concrete cores	41
3.1	Photographs showing the process of concrete core sample testing	50

3.2	Photographs showing the process of Schmidt rebound hammer testing	51
3.3	Photographs showing the process of UPV testing	52
4.1	Top View of T-Beam L=PB/PC-S2-EX-01	59
4.2	Side View of T-Beam L=PB/PC-S2-EX-01	59
4.3	Correlation Curve of UPV against Estimated In-situ Cube Strength	62
4.4	Factors Influencing in Selecting Method of Testing	64
4.5	Proportions of nature of test by respondents	65
4.6	Responses on Partially Destructive Test Preference	65
4.7	Responses on Partially Destructive Test Preference	66
4.8	Responses on selection of standard codes and practice for structural assessment	67